

# THREE LESSONS IN SOLVING ODES

PHYS 2302, Saint Mary's University

D. A. Clarke, September 2019

---

## 1 First lesson

*Ordinary differential equations* (ODE) have *univariate* function derivatives:

$$m \frac{d^2 x(t)}{dt^2} + c \frac{dx(t)}{dt} + kx(t) = F.$$

*Partial differential equations* (PDE) have *multivariate* function derivatives:

$$-\frac{\hbar}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\psi(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t}.$$

Equation whose highest order derivative is  $n$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  order ODE (PDE).

- PHYS 2302/2303: simplest types of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> order ODEs
- MATH 2303: *any* 1<sup>st</sup> order ODE; 2<sup>nd</sup> order ODEs with constant coefficients
- PHYS 3200: *any* 2<sup>nd</sup> order ODE; *separable* 2<sup>nd</sup> order PDEs

Consider the two equations:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = ay \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{1}{a} \int \frac{dy}{y}, \quad (1.1)$$

a first order ODE and an integral equation.

These are the same equation!

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = ay \Rightarrow \frac{dy}{y} = a dx \Rightarrow \int \frac{dy}{y} = \int a dx = ax \Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{a} \int \frac{dy}{y}.$$

To find  $y(x)$ , we “do the integral” and get:

$$x = \frac{1}{a} \ln y + c \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ln y = a(x - c) \quad \Rightarrow \quad y(x) = e^{a(x-c)} = Ce^{ax},$$

where  $C = e^{-ac}$  is a constant set from a boundary condition. So, if  $y = y_0$  at  $x = 0$ ,

$$y(0) = Ce^{a(0)} = C = y_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y(x) = y_0 e^{ax},$$

is the final solution.

Both Eq. (1.1) are solved in “direction of antidifferentiation”:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\text{direction of antidifferentiation (integration)}} & & & & \\ \dots & \frac{d^2f(x)}{dx^2} & \frac{df(x)}{dx} & f(x) & \int^x f(y)dy & \int^x \int^y f(z)dzdy & \dots \\ & & \xleftarrow{\text{direction of differentiation}} & & & & \end{array}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} \rightarrow y(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = \frac{1}{y} \rightarrow \int g(y)dy.$$

And there’s the rub! *There’s no algebraic way to antidifferentiate!*

Direction of differentiation is different. Given  $f(x)$ , we can *always* use the definition of a derivative to find  $f'(x)$  algebraically.

*Example:*  $f(x) = \cos x$ . Then,

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos(x + \delta x) - \cos x}{\delta x} = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos x \cos \delta x - \sin x \sin \delta x - \cos x}{\delta x},$$

using a trig identity. Then, for  $\delta x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\cos \delta x \rightarrow 1$  and  $\sin \delta x \rightarrow \delta x$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos x - \sin x \cancel{\delta x} - \cos x}{\cancel{\delta x}} = -\sin x.$$

*Example:*  $f(x) = \ln x$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{df}{dx} &= \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(x + \delta x) - \ln x}{\delta x} = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln [x(1 + \delta x/x)] - \ln x}{\delta x} \\ &= \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cancel{\ln x} + \ln(1 + \delta x/x) - \cancel{\ln x}}{\delta x} = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cancel{\delta x}/x}{\cancel{\delta x}} = \frac{1}{x},\end{aligned}$$

since  $\ln(1 + \epsilon) = \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{3} + \dots \rightarrow \epsilon$  for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ .

One may need to know trig identities or expansions, but one can always find a derivative algebraically from the definition of a derivative.

Not so for antidifferentiation. Whether integrating or solving an ODE, there always comes a time when one “simply has to recognise the solution”.

*All* methods of integration or solving ODEs boil down to beating the equation into a recognisable form, then writing down the answer.

In solving Eq. (1.1), we beat the ODE algebraically to:

$$x = \frac{1}{a} \int \frac{dy}{y},$$

at which point we “did the integral” by recognising it and writing down:

$$x = \frac{1}{a} \ln y + c,$$

then continued with the algebra.

In PHYS 2302, we shall limit ourselves to:

1<sup>st</sup> order ODEs that can be:

- easily converted to an integral; or
- solved directly by “inspection”,

2<sup>nd</sup> order ODEs that can be:

- converted to two first order ODEs (then see above); or
- solved directly by “inspection”; or
- solved by trial exponential solutions.

*Example:* Solve  $\frac{dy}{dx} = ay$  again, this time by “inspection”.

*Solution:* For simple ODEs such as this, ask:

*What function,  $y(x)$ , has a first derivative equal to itself times a constant?*

Dropping “times a constant”, the answer is easy:  $e^x$  is the only function whose derivative is itself:

$$\frac{de^x}{dx} = e^x.$$

So, if we let  $y = e^x$ , we’d have  $\frac{dy}{dx} = y$ ; we want  $ay$ .

After further inspection, try  $y = e^{ax}$  so that by the chain rule,

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = ae^{ax} = ay,$$

as desired.

But wait!  $Ce^{ax}$  ( $C$  any constant) still solves the ODE:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx}Ce^{ax} = C\frac{d}{dx}e^{ax} = Ca e^{ax} = aCe^{ax} = ay.$$

Thus,  $y(x) = Ce^{ax}$  is the general solution, as found before by integration.

Whether by integration or inspection, there’s always an “alakazam moment” where something is simply recognised.

- integration may seem a little more elegant, but it's usually longer
- inspection may seem daunting, but if you can see it, go for it!

The method used to convert the ODE to an integral is called *separation of variables*. Starting with,

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = ay,$$

put all occurrences of  $y$  on one side of the equation,  $x$  on the other:

$$\frac{dy}{y} = a dx.$$

Once in this form, integrate both sides and solve.

Not all first order ODEs can be separated, *e.g.*  $\frac{dy}{dx} = y + x$ . (Try it!)

Those that can are said to be *separable*.

The general form for a separable first order ODE is:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{f(x)}{g(y)},$$

where  $f(x)$  and  $g(y)$  are arbitrary functions<sup>1</sup>. In this form, we write:

$$g(y)dy = f(x)dx \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int g(y)dy = \int f(x)dx,$$

then, “do the integrals”, then solve for  $y(x)$  algebraically.

For examples of solving first order ODEs by separation of variables, see practise problem 1 in [assignment 2](#).

---

<sup>1</sup>Note we could equally write down the general form as  $\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x)h(y)$ . It's entirely a matter of taste whether the RHS is written as a product or a quotient.

## 2 Second lesson

In the polynomial,

$$y(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i,$$

where  $a_i$  are constants (no  $x$ -dependence),

- $a_0$  is the *constant* term,
- $a_1x$  is the *linear* term ( $y = a_1x$  is a line!),
- $a_2x^2$  is the *quadratic* term,
- $a_3x^3$  is the *cubic* term, *etc.*

A polynomial ending  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{at the linear term} \\ \text{beyond linear term} \end{array} \right\}$  is said to be  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{linear} \\ \text{non-linear} \end{array} \right\}$ .

Since functions like  $e^x$ ,  $\ln(1+x)$ ,  $\cos x$ ,  $\sin x$  all have *power-law expansions*:

$$\begin{aligned} e^x &= 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!} \\ \ln(1+x) &= x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + \dots = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} x^i}{i} \\ \cos x &= 1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i}}{(2i)!}; \\ \sin x &= x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

they are also said to be non-linear.

ODEs (and PDEs) can be *linear* and *non-linear* as well.

A *general* 2<sup>nd</sup> order ODE has form:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = y''(x) = f(y', y, x),$$

where  $f$  is *any* function of  $y'$ ,  $y$ , and  $x$ .

If  $f(y', y, x)$  is a linear function of  $y'$  and  $y$  (but still may be non-linear in  $x$ ), the ODE is *linear*. Otherwise, the ODE is *non-linear*.

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} y''(x) &= xe^{y'} + y \sin(y^2), && \text{non-linear ODE;} \\ y''(x) &= \frac{1}{y} + yy' + xy' + x^2, && \text{non-linear ODE;} \\ y''(x) &= -\frac{1}{x}y' + \left(\frac{n^2}{x^2} - 1\right)y, && \text{linear ODE; Bessel's equation;} \\ \ddot{x}(t) &= -\frac{c}{m}\dot{x} - \frac{k}{m}x, && \text{linear ODE; damped oscillator.} \end{aligned}$$

*Most* ODEs/PDEs in physics are linear, but by no means all!

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = f(x), \quad \text{non-linear PDE; Euler's equation (fluid dynamics).}$$

A *general* linear 2<sup>nd</sup> order ODE has form:

$$a(x)y''(x) + b(x)y'(x) + c(x)y(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{homogeneous;} \\ d(x) \neq 0, & \text{inhomogeneous,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $d$  are known functions of  $x$  (perhaps constant).

**Theorem 2.1.** Principle of superposition. *If  $y_1(x)$  and  $y_2(x)$  both solve the homogeneous ODE (2.1), then so does a linear combination of the two:*

$$y_3(x) = Ay_1(x) + By_2(x), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$  are any constants.

*Proof.* If we substitute Eq. (2.2) into the LHS of Eq. (2.1) and get 0, then Eq. (2.2) solves the homogeneous Eq. (2.1). To that end,

$$\begin{aligned} ay_3'' + by_3' + cy_3 &= a(Ay_1'' + By_2'') + b(Ay_1' + By_2') + c(Ay_1 + By_2) \\ &= A \underbrace{(ay_1'' + by_1' + cy_1)}_0 + B \underbrace{(ay_2'' + by_2' + cy_2)}_0 = 0, \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1 actually applies to any *linear*  $n^{\text{th}}$  order ODE.

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary, Eq. (2.2) represents an  $\infty$  of solutions to Eq. (2.1). However,

**Theorem 2.2.** (stated without proof): *A 2<sup>nd</sup> order ODE has two linearly independent solutions (one not a multiple of the other) from which all other solutions can be constructed [e.g., by Eq. (2.2)].*

In general, an  $n^{\text{th}}$  order ODE has  $n$  linearly independent solutions.

For an example of solving a linear, second-order homogeneous ODE by “inspection”, and then constructing its general solution, see practise problem 1 in [assignment 3](#).

### 3 Third lesson

Consider the *inhomogeneous, linear 2<sup>nd</sup> order ODE*:

$$ay''(x) + by'(x) + cy(x) = d(x), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $a, b, c$  are constant.

Let  $y_p(x)$  be any function that solves Eq. (3.1):

- there are an infinity of solutions to any ODE,  $y_p(x)$  is just one that solves Eq. (3.1);
- $y_p(x)$  is not necessarily the general solution to Eq. (3.1);
- $y_p(x)$  is known as the *particular solution*.

Further, let  $y_h(x)$  be the *general solution* to the *homogeneous* version of Eq. (3.1):

$$ay''(x) + by'(x) + cy(x) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus,  $y_h(x)$  has the form:

$$y_h(x) = Ay_1(x) + By_2(x),$$

where:

- $A, B$  are free parameters (independent of  $x$ , set by boundary conditions);
- $y_1(x), y_2(x)$  are *independent* functions (one not a multiple of the other) that each solve Eq. (3.2) individually.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The general solution to Eq. (3.1) is:*

$$y(x) = y_h(x) + y_p(x) = Ay_1(x) + By_2(x) + y_p(x). \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* Substitute Eq. (3.3) into the LHS of Eq. (3.1) to get:

$$\begin{aligned} & a(Ay_1'' + By_2'' + y_p'') + b(Ay_1' + By_2' + y_p') + c(Ay_1 + By_2 + y_p) \\ &= A \underbrace{(ay_1'' + by_1' + cy_1)}_{0; \text{ solves (3.2)}} + B \underbrace{(ay_2'' + by_2' + cy_2)}_{0; \text{ solves (3.2)}} + \underbrace{ay_p'' + by_p' + cy_p}_{d(x); \text{ solves (3.1)}} \\ &= d(x) = \text{RHS of Eq. (3.1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Eq. (3.3) solves Eq. (3.1). It is the *general solution* because it has two free parameters,  $A$  and  $B$ , to be set by boundary conditions.  $\square$

Thus, the strategy for solving an inhomogeneous equation like Eq. (3.1) is:

1. Find the *general* solution to the homogeneous equation, (3.2),  $y_h(x)$ .
2. Find *any* solution to Eq. (3.1),  $y_p(x)$ , by:
  - inspection;
  - a clever substitution;
  - *variation of parameters* (PHYS 3200).
3. Construct the general solution to Eq. (3.1),  $y(x) = y_h(x) + y_p(x)$ .
4. Apply boundary conditions to evaluate the free parameters (constants of integration)  $A$  and  $B$ , thereby finding the *specific* solution.

For an example of solving a linear, second-order inhomogeneous ODE by finding the homogenous and particular solutions, and then applying boundary conditions to construct the specific solution, see practise problem 3 in [assignment 4](#).